Application No. 10/088,193

REMARKS

Claims 14 and 22 have been amended. No new claims have been added and no Previously, claims 1-13, 15, and 23 were cancelled. claims are cancelled herein. Accordingly, claims 14, 16-22, and 24-26 remain under prosecution in this application.

Claims 14 and 22

Minor grammatical amendments have been made to claims 14 and 22. A period has been added to claim 22 and a comma has been added to claim 14.

Allowed Claims

The Examiner has indicated that claims 14 and 16-21 are allowed. The undersigned acknowledges allowance of claims 14 and 16-21.

35 USC §102

Claims 22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Herrmann et al. Claim 22 includes, amongst other limitations, "means for standardizing the output signal to at least one nominal value when a vehicle movement behavior is stationary." The Examiner cited column 6, lines 44-49 of Herrmann et al in his rejection of claim 22. However, the undersigned has closely reviewed column 6, lines 44-49 of Herrmann et al and there is no teaching or suggestion of "means for standardizing the output signal to at least one nominal value when a vehicle movement behavior is stationary." Accordingly, for this reason alone, the undersigned believes that claim 22 (and its dependent claims 24-26) are now in condition for allowance.

Application No. 10/088,193

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-3145, under Order No. AP9714 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph V/Coppola, Sr., Beg No. 33,373 Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP

32270 Telegraph Road, Suite 225 Bingham Farms, MI 48025-2457

(248) 566-8500

Attorney for Applicant Customer No.: 44200

OAK_A.596583.1